Transdisciplinary:

The Case of Aging & Technology



Judith Sixsmith Professor of Health Related Research University of Dundee



Presentation Outline

- AGE-WELL
- Why is transdisciplinarity important?
- What is transdisciplinarity?
- What are the benefits?
- Barriers & facilitators to this approach
- Some 'How To's'



AGE-WELL Vision

 AGE-WELL: <u>Aging Gracefully</u> across <u>Environments using</u> Technology to Support <u>Wellness, Engagement, and</u> <u>Long Life</u>



- The vision of AGE-WELL is to harness the potential of technology to provide high-quality and sustainable services and solutions to meet the needs of the current and future generations of older adults in Canada.
- Our vision includes the creation of capacity for Canada to further establish its position as a global leader.



Key Objectives

I. Carry out world-class research
II. Break down the silos
III. Focus on real-world problems

III. Advance Canada's global competitivenessIV. Train a new generation of "HQPs"





Valley of Death





Why TD in AGE-WELL?

- Problem: How can we help people age well by harnessing the potential of technology?
- This is a wicked problem; messy, complicated to solve, but socially pressing
- We need real world solutions that make a difference to people's lives
- So: A new approach to research and innovation in technology that is action-oriented, collaborative & integrative; <u>a transdisciplinary approach</u>





Combining Disciplines

Each discipline produces incomplete, or fragmented knowledge; and thus only partial solutions. Combining disciplines can move us towards a more complete understanding of complex problems and is thereby more able to develop holistic and sustainable solutions







Multi

Silos within Academia. Work on same problem with own assumptions

Inter

Interactions/reciprocities within academia. Some overlap of disciplinary boundaries, with some transformations beyond blending of common assumptions, academia restrictions, & philosophies

Trans

Transcending boundaries,



Scoping Review Objectives

• To determine:



- Key features/principles of Transdisciplinary Research (TDR)
- How transdisciplinary research is currently operationalized across studies
- Barriers and enablers to successful implementation and outcomes
- The impact it has on research processes, outcomes and impact (e.g. social change)

Scoping Review Method

- Search terms and strings were developed/refined.
- 3 Databases were searched: Medline/OVID, EBSCO, ProQuest.
- English language peer-reviewed articles published between Jan. 1, 2005 to Dec. 31, 2015.
- Multiple rounds of review (title & abstract, full text of article).
- Inclusion criteria:
 - Is the article located in one of these 3 fields: health/ medicine, aging & technology? AND
 - Does the paper describe an evaluation of TDR? (e.g. how TDR made a difference to research project outcomes, processes or its impact?)
- At minimum, two investigators independently reviewed all titles, abstracts & full texts of all articles to determine

inclusion in review, & extracted data.



Results

- 23 articles included in review.
- A diversity of disciplines.
- The majority focused on health and medicine research from U.S. –and Canadian contexts.
- Mostly descriptive program evaluations.
- Emphasis on assessing traditional markers of 'scientific excellence.'
- 1 article focused on technology; none on aging





Transdisciplinary Key Features

- Focus: wicked problem, real world focus
- Transcending disciplinary and crossing sectoral boundaries: innovative ideas, new methods, models...
- Stakeholder participation from the start (partner mapping).
- Mutual learning & collaboration between sci3nce and society
- Shared vision, aims and objectives
- Embraces complexity
- An interactive research process that involves the co-production, co-interpretation and integration of knowledge
- Challenges hierarchies in academia
- Application of knowledge in the real-world (e.g. real-world impact) to make a difference



Why 'Do' Transdisciplinarity?

- Supports scientific/academic usability and quality
 - Enhances research productivity (e.g. publications, collaborations) & funding success (Golden, 2014; Gutman, 2012; Hall et al., 2012; Loisel, 2009; Stokols, 2005)
 - Advances theoretical understanding of complex societal problems (Gutman, 2009; Hall et al., 2012; Ottoson, 2009)
 - Leads to more comprehensive & holistic solutions (Loisel, 2009; Maase, 2005; Orozco, 2008; Pelletier, 2015; Schensul, 2009; Simard, 2014)
 - Enhances scientists' careers (Gutman, 2009)



Why 'Do' Transdisciplinarity?

- Enhances social robustness:
 - Helps build relationships between & across scientists and society (e.g. to policymakers, and citizens), which supports translation of knowledge (Daudelin, 2011; Gutman, 2009; Hall et al., 2012; Ottoson, 2009)
 - Improves understanding of the problem (Masse, 2008; Pelletier, 2015; Schensul, 2006)
 - Enriches learning & training of trainees (Golden, 2014; Lambert, 2005; Loisel, 2009; Maase 2008; Orozco, 2008; Snow, 2010).



Some Facilitators



- Institutional support for research (e.g. multi-year funding, & extra-institutional infrastructure)
- Heterogeneous team make-up (e.g. teams composed of multiple & diverse social actors)
- Multiple methods and opportunities for communication and interaction, in person & virtually, utilitarian & social
- Strong interpersonal relationships and mutual trust
- Strong and involved leadership to develop and broker engagement between and across sites/ sectors/actors



Some Barriers

- Insufficient planning/late involvement of partners
- Diversity of goals
- Takes more time and effort (& funders may not want to pay)
- Established hierarchies and practices (What is considered good science? Evidence? Whose ideas are dominant? Value of publication versus than real world impact. Disciplinary purity)





Further Barriers

- Few models of "how to"
- Vocabularies
- Dealing with uncertainty
- Pressure for unidisciplinary outputs



"I say we just take out that squiggly green thing and see how he is tomorrow."



How To FacilitateTransdisciplinarity

TDR support

• Seminars, journal clubs, interest groups, workshops, financial incentives, development of capacity via training/internships

• Diversity of stakeholders

• Heterogeneous network of researchers with diverse backgrounds & expertise, industry & policy partners, service providers & experiential stakeholder partners

Multi-directional communication

• Feedback on performance, opportunities for networking & engagement with academics & other stakeholders from outside the network, space & opportunity for new ideas to grow.

A 'transdisciplinary attitude'

 A push to build consensus, continual learning & self reflection, innovate & 'commercialize', include partners throughout the research process, openness to new ways of thinking, stepping into the unfamiliar.



Questions and Ideas

- Who should be around the table?
 - Partner and expertise mapping
- How can a shared vision be established?
 Deliberative dialogue
- How to integrate knowledge for step change innovation?
 - Appreciative Inquiry
 - Reflexivity (what works, why and for who)
 - Challenge hierarchies that stifle change (how do they work to advance or hinder

innovation?)



PATHWAYS TOWARD TRANSDISCIPLINARITY IN AGING & TECHNOLOGY CREATING NEW WAYS OF WORKING



Figure 1. A visual representation depicting cross-disciplinary pathways of working practices that support movement from multi-disciplinarity to interdisciplinarity and transdisciplinarity.





Inclusive transdisciplinary teamwork in action!!



Literature

- Augsburg, T. (2014). Becoming transdisciplinary: The emergence of the transdisciplinary individual. World Futures, 70(3-4), 233-247.
- Balsinger, J. (2014). Transdisciplinarity in the classroom. http://archive-ouverte.unige.ch/unige:39938
- Benard, M., & de Cock-Buning, T. (2014). Moving from monodisciplinarity towards transdisciplinarity: Insights into the barriers and facilitators that scientists faced. Science and Public Policy, sct099.
- Boger, J., Jackson, P., Mulvenna, M., Sixsmith, J., Sixsmith, A., Mihailidis, A., ... & Martin, S. (2016). Principles for fostering the transdisciplinary development of assistive technologies. Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology, 1-11.
- Gray B. (2008). Enhancing transdisciplinary research through collaborative leadership. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 35(2 Suppl), 124-S132.
- Hall, K. L., Stokols, D., Stipelman, B. A., Vogel, A. L., Feng, A., Masimore, B., ... & Berrigan, D. (2012). Assessing the value of team science: a study comparing center-and investigator-initiated grants. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 42(2), 157-163.
- Klein, J. T. (2008). Evaluation of interdisciplinary and transdisciplinary research: a literature review. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 35(2), S116-S123.
- Klein, J. T. (2015). Reprint of "Discourses of transdisciplinarity: Looking back to the future". Futures, 65, 10-16.
- Lang, D. J., Wiek, A., Bergmann, M., Stauffacher, M., Martens, P., Moll, P., ... & Thomas, C. J. (2012). Transdisciplinary research in sustainability science: practice, principles, and challenges. Sustainability science, 7(1), 25-43.
- Nowotny, Helga, Peter Scott, and Michael Gibbons. (2003). Introduction: 'Mode 2' Revisited: The New Production of Knowledge. Minerva, 41.3, 179-194.
- Pohl C, & Hirsch Hadorn, G. (2007). Principles for Designing Transdisciplinary Research. Munich: Oekom.
- Pohl, C. 2011. What is progress in transdisciplinary research? Futures, 43, 618–626.
- Polk, M. 2015. Transdisciplinary co-production: Designing and testing a transdisciplinary research framework for societal problem solving. Futures, 65, 110-122.
- Popa F, Guillermin M, Dedeurwaerdere T. (2015). A pragmatist approach to transdisciplinarity in sustainability research: From complex systems theory to reflexive science. Futures, 65, 45-56.

